Morphological Analysis of cosmological random fields using Minkowski Functionals

Chiaki Hikage (Kavli IPMU, U Tokyo)

## Outline

- Introduction of Minkowski Functionals
- Application of Minkowski Functionals to CMB temperature and B-mode polarization map
- Tensor Minkowski Functionals as anisotropic measures
- Summary

#### **Observational data**

#### cosmic microwave background observed by Planck satellite



temperature anisotropy





## Gaussian random field

 In a Gaussian random field G(x), the joint probability function of the field values α<sub>i</sub>=G(x<sub>i</sub>) with i=1,...,n becomes a multivariate Gaussian distribution

$$\mathcal{P}_n(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)d\alpha_1\ldots d\alpha_n = \left[(2\pi)^n \det M\right]^{-1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i M_{ij}^{-1}\alpha_j\right] d\alpha_1\ldots d\alpha_n$$

 $M_{ij} = \langle \alpha_1 \alpha_j \rangle = \xi(x_{ij})$  covariance matrix

 A Gaussian random field with vanishing mean value is completely determined by the two-point correlation functions

## **Fourier space**

• After Fourier-transform of the field *G(x)*, each Fourier mode is given by the amplitude and the phase

 $\widetilde{G}(\mathbf{k}) = |\widetilde{G}(\mathbf{k})| e^{i\theta(\mathbf{k})}$ 

Fourier phase

#### Fourier amplitude

 In a Gaussian random field, each Fourier modes are statistically independent, and have random phases and the moduli |G(k)| follows Rayleigh distribution

$$\mathcal{P}[|\widetilde{G}(\mathbf{k})|, \theta(\mathbf{k})] = \exp\left[-\frac{|\widetilde{G}(\mathbf{k})|^2}{P(k)}\right] \frac{2\,|\widetilde{G}(\mathbf{k})|^2}{P(k)}\,d|\widetilde{G}(\mathbf{k})|\,\frac{d\theta(\mathbf{k})}{2\pi}$$

 Power spectrum P(k) completely describes the statistics of the random-Gaussian fields

#### Power spectrum of cosmic matter density field

#### $P(k) = < |\delta_k|^2 >$

Square of the amplitude of the matter density fluctuation as a function of wavenumber of k

P(k) is measured from different probes such as CMB, galaxy distributions, weak lensing



#### **Statistics for Non-Gaussian fields**

- Power spectrum (or 2-point correlation functions) cannot describe non-Gaussian properties
- Higher-order statistics beyond 2-point statistics are necessary
  - e.g., bispectrum (3-point), trispectrum (4-point)
- Since non-Gaussianity has infinite freedom in general, there is no single statistic to fully characterize non-Gaussian properties

#### Minkowski Functionals (MFs)

- MFs characterize morphological properties
- In 2D space, there exist three MFs
   V<sub>0</sub> Area
   V<sub>1</sub> circumference
   V<sub>2</sub> Euler characteristic



Hermann Minkowski (1864-1909, Germay)



e.g., UK  $V_0:0.00048 (A/4\pi R^2, A=244820 km^2)$   $V_1:2.0 (I/R, I=12800 km)$  $V_2:33=34-1 (\# of islands - \# of lakes [>100 km^2])$ 

Note: Values of MFs depend on a smoothing scale

# **Conditions that MFs satisfy**

1. Motion Invariance:  $V_k(K) = V_k(gK)$  (g=rotation+transfer)

+

K : convex bodies

#### 2. Additivity: $V_k(K_1 \cup K_2) = V_k(K_1) + V_k(K_2) - V_k(K_1 \cap K_2)$

3. Continuity:  $V_k(K') \rightarrow V_k(K)$  as  $K' \rightarrow K$ 

# Hadwiger's theorem (1957)

 In d-dimensional space, there exists d+1 number of MFs V<sub>k</sub> (k=0,1,..., d)

d	1	2	3
$V_0$	length	area	volume
$V_1$	x	circumference	surface area
$V_2$	-	x	total mean curvature
<i>V</i> <sub>3</sub>	_	-	x
		x: Euler characteris	

 Any morphological descriptors satisfying motion-invariant, additive, and continuous conditions is a linear combination of MFs

$$M = \sum_{k=0}^{d} a_k V_k^{(d)}$$
 with numbers  $a_k$ 



Hugo Hadwiger (1908-1981) Swiss mathematician

# Steiner's formula

 For a given body K, the MFs of the parallel body K<sub>ε</sub> at a distance ε from K are a polynomial ε with coefficients proportional to the MFs of K

$$V_i(K_\epsilon) = \sum_{j=i}^d \left( egin{array}{c} d-i \ j-i \end{array} 
ight) V_j(K) \epsilon^{j-i}$$

#### e.g., 2D body

$$V_0(K_{\epsilon}) = V_0(K) + 2V_1(K)\epsilon + V_2(K)\epsilon^2$$
$$V_1(K_{\epsilon}) = V_1(K) + V_2(K)\epsilon$$
$$V_2(K_{\epsilon}) = V_2(K)$$



Jakob Steiner (1796-1863) Swiss mathematician



Schroder-Turk et al. 2010

#### V<sub>0</sub> Area, V<sub>1</sub> circumference, V<sub>2</sub> Euler characteristic

## MFs for a scalar field

- Let's consider a smooth scalar field  $f(\theta)$  on the sphere (e.g., CMB)
- MFs are measured in the excursion set over a given threshold v

$$K_{\nu} = (\theta \in S^2 | f(\theta) > \nu)$$
  $\nu = f/\sigma_0$   $\sigma_0 = \langle f^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ 



### MFs as a function of threshold v







### MFs as a function of threshold v





### MFs as a function of threshold v







# Analytical formula of MFs in Gaussian random field

• Tomita's formula (Tomita 1986)

ω

$$V_{k}^{(d)}(\nu) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(k+1)/2}} \frac{\omega_{d}}{\omega_{d-k}\omega_{k}} \left(\frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sqrt{d}\sigma_{0}}\right)^{k} e^{-\nu^{2}/2} H_{k-1}(\nu)$$
Hermite polynomials
where
$$\nu = f/\sigma_{0} \quad \sigma_{0} = \langle f^{2} \rangle^{1/2} \quad \sigma_{1} = -\langle f \cdot \nabla^{2} f \rangle^{1/2}$$
where of the unit ball in k-dimension ( $\omega_{0}$ =1,  $\omega_{1}$ =2,  $\omega_{2}$ =π



#### Matsubara's formulae

 T. Matsubara 2003 derive the perturbative formula of MFs using multidimensional Edgeworth expansion

$$V_k(\nu) = V_k^{(G)}(\nu) + A_k e^{-\nu^2/2} [v_k^{(1)}(\nu)\sigma_0]$$

Gaussian term

leading-order perturbative term

 Leaing-order NG term is determined by three "skewness parameters"

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{v}_{k}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) &= \frac{\boldsymbol{S}}{\boldsymbol{6}} \boldsymbol{H}_{k+2}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) - \frac{\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{I}}}{2} \boldsymbol{H}_{k}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) - \frac{\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{II}}}{2} \boldsymbol{H}_{k-2}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) \\ \boldsymbol{S} &\equiv \frac{\langle f^{3} \rangle}{\sigma_{0}^{4}}, \quad \boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{I}} \equiv \frac{f^{2} \langle \nabla^{2} f \rangle}{\sigma_{0}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}, \quad \boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{II}} \equiv \frac{2 \langle |\nabla f|^{2} \nabla^{2} f \rangle}{\sigma_{1}^{4}} \end{aligned}$$

 The skewness parameters are the sum of bispectra with different configuration weight



Takahiko Matsubara (professor@KEK)

# 2nd-order perturbation of MFs

 Matsubara 2010 derive the 2nd-order perturbations of MFs, which depend on 4 kurtosis parameters

$$V_k(\nu) = V_k^{(G)}(\nu) + A_k e^{-
u^2/2} [v_k^{(1)}(\nu)\sigma_0 + v_k^{(2)}(\nu)\sigma_0^2]$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_0^{(2)}(\nu) &= \frac{S^2}{72}H_5(\nu) + \frac{K}{24}H_3(\nu), \\ v_1^{(2)}(\nu) &= \frac{S^2}{72}H_6(\nu) + \frac{K-SS_{\rm I}}{24}H_4(\nu) - \frac{1}{12}\left(K_{\rm I} + \frac{3}{8}S_{\rm I}^2\right)H_2(\nu) - \frac{K_{\rm III}}{8}, \\ v_2^{(2)}(\nu) &= \frac{S^2}{72}H_7(\nu) + \frac{K-2SS_{\rm I}}{24}H_5(\nu) - \frac{1}{6}\left(K_{\rm I} + \frac{1}{2}SS_{\rm II}\right)H_3(\nu) - \frac{1}{2}\left(K_{\rm II} + \frac{1}{2}S_{\rm I}S_{\rm II}\right)H_1(\nu) \end{aligned}$$

 Kurtosis parameters are the sum of trispectrum with different configuration weights

$$\underline{K} \equiv \frac{\langle f^4 \rangle_c}{\sigma_0^4}, \quad \underline{K}_{\mathrm{I}} \equiv \frac{\langle (\nabla^2 f) f^3 \rangle_c}{\sigma_0^4 \sigma_1^2}, \quad \underline{K}_{\mathrm{II}} \equiv \frac{2 \langle f | \nabla f |^2 \nabla^2 f \rangle_c + \langle |\nabla f|^4 \rangle_c}{\sigma_0^2 \sigma_1^4}, \quad \underline{K}_{\mathrm{III}} \equiv \frac{\langle |\nabla f|^4 \rangle_c}{2 \sigma_0^2 \sigma_1^4},$$

## **Primordial Non-Gaussianity**

 Local-type non-Gaussianity in primordial perturbation (e.g., Komatsu, Spergel 2001)

$$\Phi = \phi + f_{
m NL}(\phi^2 - \langle \phi^2 \rangle) + g_{
m NL}\phi^3...$$

Φ: auxiliary Gaussian variable

- Primoridal non-Gaussianity is a useful probe to differentiate models in early Universe
  - The simple model of inflation predicts  $f_{NL}\sim O(1)$ , while other models can generate larger non-Gaussianity  $f_{NL}\sim O(1-100)$ )

### Perturbative formula vs Simulations with primordial NG



mock simulations

Perturbative formulae f<sub>NL</sub>=100

Error: dispersion of MFs among 1000 mock simulations

The agreement between simulations and perturbative formulae is perfect

CH, Matsubara, Coles et al. 2007

# **g<sub>NL</sub>-type NG effect on MFs**

2nd-order perturbation of MFs due to  $g_{NL}$ -type NG is also very good agreement with the simulation results



CH, Matsubara 2011

### MFs

- MFs depend on all-orders of polyspectra (bispectra, trispectra, ....)
  - MFs and polyspectra play a complimentary role in the analysis of non-Gaussianity with each other
- Computation of MFs are much faster than the full calculation of bispectra/trispectra
- MFs are model-independent statistics and may be able to detect unexpected NG (e.g., unknown systematics), while the commonly used cubic statistics is optimal but model-dependent

# Constraints on primordial NG from CMB data

#### • WMAP

- optimal cubic estimators: f<sub>NL</sub>=32±21 (Komatsu et al. 2011)
- MFs:  $f_{NL}=20\pm42$ ,  $g_{NL}=(-1.9\pm6.4)\times10^5$  (C.H., Matsubara 2012)
- Planck (Planck collaboration 2015)
  - optimal estimators:  $f_{NL}=0.8\pm5.0$ ,  $g_{NL}=(-9.0\pm7.7)\times10^4$
  - MFs:  $f_{NL}=3\pm12$ ,  $g_{NL}=(-8\pm13)\times10^4$

all error values are  $1\sigma$ 

The results from MFs are consistent with those from optimal estimators

# B-mode polarization from primordial gravitational wave (GW)

- Polarization pattern separate geometrically into E-mode (divergence-only) and B mode (curl-only)
- Primordial gravitational wave generate B-mode, while density perturbations do not



# Hunting primordial B-mode polarization signal



On-going surveys: POLARBEAR BICEP/Keck Array, SPTPol, ACTPol

tensor-to-scalar ratio r<0.07 (95%CL)

Future surveys: LiteBIRD, PIXIE CMB-S4

σ(r)~0.001

BICEP2 maps at 150GHz

# **Origin of primordial GW**

 Many studies assume that the detection of primordial B-mode comes from the quantum fluctuations of vacuum during the cosmic inflation

#### Is the assumption always true ?

GW could be sourced by particles produced during inflation

e.g., gauge field sources chiral GWs via a pseudo scalar coupling (Namba et al. 2016)

#### Validity of the assumption should be tested

## **B-mode power spectrum**

pseudo-scalar model (Namba et al. 2016)



Vacuum and pseudoscalar model spectrum are compatible within 1-sigma

# Non-Gaussianity in CMB B-mode polarization map

• The vacuum mode is nearly Gaussian, however the mode sourced by other fields could have large NG



The source-field NG in B-mode map is detectable at LiteBIRD experiment.

NG in B-mode map is useful to reveal the origin of the primordial B-mode

Shiraishi, CH, Namba, Hazumi, Namikawa 2016

#### **Tensor Minkowski functionals**

- Tensorial generalization of usual (scalar) MFs (Alesker 1999, Beispert et al. 2002)
- Tensor MFs of rank 2 are defined as



## Anisotropy measures

- If the field is isotropic, the tensor MFs should be isotropic, i.e., the eigenvalues of the tensor are all equal
- Deviations from isotropy can be measured from the ratio of the eigenvalues

$$\beta = |\xi_1/\xi_2| \quad (|\xi_1| \le |\xi_2|)$$

 $\xi_i$  are the eigenvalues of a tensor MF

 tensor MFs quantifies the anisotropy of shape on different scales, which cannot be captured by the usual scalar MFs

## Summary

- Minkowski Functionals (MFs) are morphological descriptors satisfying motion-invariant, additive and continuous conditions
- Analytical formulae of MFs are derived in random Gaussian fields and also in weakly non-Gaussian fields
- MFs have been applied to cosmological random fields such as CMB temperature/polarization maps as a probe of NG from morphological point of view
- Tensor MFs become a novel probe of anisotropy and can be used to test the isotropy of our Universe