

# Algebraic views on classification problems

Gherardo Varando <sup>1</sup> and Eva Riccomagno <sup>2</sup>

## 1 Introduction

We study generative classifiers for binary class over categorical predictors, that is models of the joint probability distribution  $P > 0$  over the predictors  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}$  and the class variable  $C \in \{-1, +1\}$ . Every generative classifier induce a discrimination function,

$$f_P = \ln(P(\mathbf{X}, C = +1)) - \ln(P(\mathbf{X}, C = -1)),$$

such that the maximum a posteriori prediction  $\arg \max_{c \in \{-1, +1\}} P(C = c | \mathbf{X})$  is equal to the sign of  $f_P$ .

It is known that the form of the induced function  $f_P$  is connected to the conditional independence assumptions that hold in  $P$  [5, 4, 6]. For example the naive Bayes assumption ( $X_i \perp\!\!\!\perp X_j | C$ ) translates, for the discrimination functions, in the following decomposition,

$$f_P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_i f_i(x_i). \quad (1)$$

Complementarily we present a study of the set of generative classifier such that their induced functions satisfy the factorization in Equation (1).

$$\mathcal{P}_\emptyset = \{P > 0 \text{ s.t. } f_P = \sum_i f_i(x_i)\}.$$

## 2 Constant interactions models

Consider generative classifiers over two binary predictor variables  $X_1, X_2$  and define the odds ratio of the conditional distribution of the predictors given the class variable,

$$\alpha[P(X_1, X_2 | C = c)] = \frac{P(X_1 = 0, X_2 = 0 | C = c) P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1 | C = c)}{P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0 | C = c) P(X_1 = 0, X_2 = 1 | C = c)}.$$

We can prove the following equivalence that characterize the set  $\mathcal{P}_\emptyset$ .

$$P \in \mathcal{P}_\emptyset \Leftrightarrow \alpha[P(X_1, X_2 | C = +1)] = \alpha[P(X_1, X_2 | C = -1)].$$

---

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: gherardo.varando@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Genova, Genova, Italy, E-mail: riccomagno@dima.unige.it

As Fienberg [2] we consider the manifold of constant interaction as the probabilities with odds ratios equal to  $\alpha > 0$ .

$$\mathcal{M}(\alpha) = \{Q > 0 \text{ s.t. } \alpha[Q] = \alpha\}.$$

If we parametrize a generative classifier  $P = P(C)P(X_1, X_2|C)$  we have that,

$$P \in \mathcal{P}_\emptyset \Leftrightarrow P(X_1, X_2|C = \pm 1) \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha),$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ .

Obviously, naive Bayes classifiers belong to  $\mathcal{P}_\emptyset$ , in particular they correspond to the choice  $\alpha = 1$  that reduces  $\mathcal{M}(1)$  to the manifold of independence [3, 1].

The above characterization can be extended to more than two categorical predictors, and generalizing the odds ratios we can similarly consider more complex factorizations of the discrimination function  $f_P$ .

Moreover models in  $\mathcal{P}_\emptyset$  can be seen as generative classifiers equivalent to the logistic regression and thus we investigate maximum-likelihood estimation over  $\mathcal{P}_\emptyset$ .

## References

- [1] M. Drton, B. Sturmfels, and S. Sullivant. *Lectures on Algebraic Statistics*. Oberwolfach Seminars. Birkhäuser Basel, 2009.
- [2] Stephen E. Fienberg. The geometry of an  $r \times c$  contingency table. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 39(4):1186–1190, 08 1968.
- [3] Stephen E. Fienberg and John P. Gilbert. The geometry of a two by two contingency table. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 65(330):694–701, 1970.
- [4] Manfred Jaeger. Probabilistic classifiers and the concepts they recognize. In Tom Fawcett and Nina Mishra, editors, *Proceedings of the Twentieth International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML-03)*, pages 266–273. AAAI Press, 2003.
- [5] Marvin Minsky. Steps toward artificial intelligence. In *Computers and Thought*, pages 406–450. McGraw-Hill, 1961.
- [6] Gherardo Varando, Concha Bielza, and Pedro Larranaga. Decision boundary for discrete bayesian network classifiers. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 16:2725–2749, 2015.