

# Run-length performance estimation of the functional regression control chart

Fabio Centofanti <sup>1</sup>, Antonio Lepore <sup>1</sup> Alessandra Menafoglio <sup>2</sup>,  
Biagio Palumbo <sup>1</sup> and Simone Vantini <sup>2</sup>

Because of advances in data acquisition technologies, it is increasingly common, in diverse fields of science, to gather data that are functional in nature. *Profile monitoring* [3] is an expanding area of statistical process control (SPC) whose methods allow monitoring and controlling process quality characteristics which can be modelled as *functional data* [6]. In many practical situations, measures of the quality characteristic are available jointly with those of other functional covariates. In this context, we propose a new control chart that takes into account additional information contained in those functional covariates to better monitoring the quality characteristic. This chart is referred to as *functional regression control chart* (FRCC) and is an extension of the *regression*, or *cause-selecting, control chart* which is used in the multivariate context [2].

Let  $Y(t)$  represent the functional quality characteristic (hereinafter referred to as *response*) and  $\mathbf{X}(t) = (X_1(t), \dots, X_p(t))^\top$  be the functional covariates (hereinafter referred to as *predictors*) with  $t \in \mathcal{S}$ , a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We model the predictors as influencing the response according to the following multivariate non-concurrent (i.e., the response at time  $t$  is explained by the functional covariate values in  $\mathcal{S}$  and not only at the same time  $t$ ) functional-on-functional (i.e., functional response on functional covariates) linear regression model

$$Y^Z(t) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} (\boldsymbol{\beta}(s, t))^\top \mathbf{X}^Z(s) ds + \varepsilon(t), \quad (1)$$

with  $Y^Z(t)$  and  $\mathbf{X}^Z(t) = (X_1^Z(t), \dots, X_p^Z(t))^\top$  the point-wise standardized response and predictor variables, respectively, and  $\boldsymbol{\beta}(s, t) = (\beta_1(s, t), \dots, \beta_p(s, t))^\top$  the vector of the regression functional parameters.

The FRCC monitors the functional residuals of the model (1) adopting the approach used in [4], where the coefficients from the Karhunen-Loève decomposition of the residual are monitored by means of the Hotelling's  $T^2$  and the squared prediction error (*SPE*) control charts.

A simulation study is performed to quantify the FRCC performance, in terms of average run length (ARL), in identifying mean shifts in the functional response.

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Industrial Engineering, Università di Napoli Federico II, Naples, P.le V. Tecchio 80, 80125, Italy, E-mail: [fabio.centofanti@unina.it](mailto:fabio.centofanti@unina.it), [antonio.lepore@unina.it](mailto:antonio.lepore@unina.it), [biagio.palumbo@unina.it](mailto:biagio.palumbo@unina.it).

<sup>2</sup>MOX - Dept. of Mathematics, Politecnico di Milano, Milan, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133, Italy, E-mail: [alessandra.menafoglio@polimi.it](mailto:alessandra.menafoglio@polimi.it), [simone.vantini@polimi.it](mailto:simone.vantini@polimi.it).

Simulated data are generated using pre-specified covariance structure and means reference model for the response and predictors, similar to simulation studies in [4]. Two different scenarios are considered: Scenario 1, where shifts affect only the conditional mean of the response given the predictors by means of changes in the response mean; Scenario 2, where both shifts in response and predictor means occurred. FRCC performance are compared with those of other two charts widely used to monitor profiles in the industrial context [4, 1]. Results show that the proposed control chart outperforms the competitor ones in both Scenario 1 and Scenario 2. However, in Scenario 2 shifts in the predictor means affect the FRCC performance, and conclusions about response mean shift must be drawn with caution.

**Acknowledgements:** The computing resources and the related technical support used for this work have been provided by CRESCO/ENEAGRID High Performance Computing infrastructure and its staff [5]. In particular, the authors are deeply grateful to the engineer Fiorenzo Ambrosino, for his experienced and professional support in promptly solving technical issues. CRESCO/ENEAGRID High Performance Computing infrastructure is funded by ENEA, the Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development and by Italian and European research programmes, see <http://www.cresco.enea.it/english> for information.

## References

- [1] Bianca Maria Colosimo and Massimo Pacella. On the use of principal component analysis to identify systematic patterns in roundness profiles. *Quality and reliability engineering international*, 23(6):707–725, 2007.
- [2] BJ Mandel. The regression control chart. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 1(1):1–9, 1969.
- [3] Rassoul Noorossana, Abbas Saghaei, and Amirhossein Amiri. *Statistical analysis of profile monitoring*, volume 865. John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
- [4] Alessia Pini, Simone Vantini, Bianca Maria Colosimo, and Marco Grasso. Domain-selective functional analysis of variance for supervised statistical profile monitoring of signal data. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics)*, 2017.
- [5] Giovanni Ponti et al. The role of medium size facilities in the hpc ecosystem: the case of the new cresco4 cluster integrated in the eneagrid infrastructure. In *High Performance Computing & Simulation (HPCS), 2014 International Conference on*, pages 1030–1033. IEEE, 2014.
- [6] J. Ramsay and B.W. Silverman. *Functional Data Analysis*. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer, 2005.