## Universal modification of vector weighted method of correlated sampling with finite computation cost

## Ilia Medvedev<sup>1</sup>

Consider the system of the 2nd kind integral equations with parameter  $\lambda$ :

$$\phi_i(x,\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^m \int_X k_{ij}(x,y,\lambda)\phi_j(y,\lambda)dy + h_i(x,\lambda), \quad i = 1,...,m,$$
(1)

or  $\Phi_{\lambda} = \mathbf{K}_{\lambda} \Phi_{\lambda} + H_{\lambda}$ , in the vector form, where  $\lambda \in \Lambda = \{\lambda_{1}, ..., \lambda_{s}\}, H_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{T}} = (h_{1}(\lambda), ..., h_{m}(\lambda)) \in L_{\infty}, \mathbf{K}_{\lambda} \in [L_{\infty} \to L_{\infty}], ||H_{\lambda}||_{L_{\infty}} = \operatorname{vrai} \sup_{i,x} |h_{i}(x, \lambda)|.$ We assume that the spectral radius is  $\rho(\mathbf{K}_{\lambda,1}) < 1$  where  $\mathbf{K}_{\lambda,1}$  is the operator obtained from the operator  $\mathbf{K}_{\lambda,1}$  by replacement  $k_{ij}(x, y, \lambda) \to |k_{ij}(x, y, \lambda)|$  and  $\rho(\mathbf{K}_{\lambda,1}) = \underline{\lim} ||\mathbf{K}_{\lambda,1}^{n}||_{L_{\infty}}^{1/n} = \inf ||\mathbf{K}_{\lambda,1}^{n}||_{L_{\infty}}^{1/n}$ . The Neumann series for (1) converges if the inequality  $||\mathbf{K}_{\lambda,1}^{n_{0}}|| < 1$  holds for some  $n_{0} \geq 1$  and  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$  where  $||\mathbf{K}_{\lambda,1}|| = \sup_{x,i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int |k_{ij}(x, y, \lambda)| dy.$ 

Let us consider a Markov chain of collisions  $\{x_n\}, (n = 0, ..., N)$  with the transition density p(x, y) and  $\rho(B_p) < 1$ , where  $B_p$  is an integral operator with the kernel p(x, y) (in particular, for  $p(x) \ge \varepsilon > 0$ ). A standard vector Monte Carlo collision estimator is constructed for the value  $\Phi_{\lambda}(x) = \mathbf{E}\boldsymbol{\xi}_x(\lambda)$  on the base of relations

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_x(\lambda) = H_\lambda(x) + \delta_y Q(x, y, \lambda) \boldsymbol{\xi}_y(\lambda), \qquad (2)$$

where  $Q(x, y, \lambda) = K(x, y, \lambda)/p(x, y)$  is the matrix weight and  $\delta_x$  is the indicator of chain non-breaking in the transition  $x \to y$ . The variance  $\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}_x(\lambda)$  depends on the matrix of second moments  $\Psi(x, \lambda) = \mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_x(\lambda)\boldsymbol{\xi}_x^{\mathrm{T}}(\lambda))$  which satisfies the following equation ([2])

$$\Psi(x,\lambda) = \chi(x,\lambda) + \int_{X} \frac{K(x,y,\lambda)\Psi(y,\lambda)K^{\mathrm{T}}(x,y,\lambda)}{p(x,y)}dy,$$
(3)

or  $\Psi_{\lambda} = \chi_{\lambda} + \mathbf{K}_{\lambda,p}\Psi_{\lambda}$ , where  $\chi_{\lambda} = H_{\lambda}\Phi_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{T}} + \Phi_{\lambda}H_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{T}} - H_{\lambda}H_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{T}}$ . This equation is considered in the space  $\mathbf{L}_{\infty}$ . Denote the operator obtained from  $\mathbf{K}_{\lambda,p}$  by replacing the kernels by their absolute values by  $\mathbf{K}_{\lambda,p,1}$ . It is known ([2]) that if  $\rho(\mathbf{K}_{\lambda,p,1}) < 1$ then  $\Psi(x,\lambda) = \mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{x}(\lambda)\boldsymbol{\xi}_{x}^{\mathrm{T}}(\lambda))$  is a solution of the equation (3) and  $\Psi_{\lambda} \in \mathbf{L}_{\infty}$ . The corresponding Monte Carlo algorithms (see (2)) were naturally named the method

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Monte Carlo Methods, Institute of Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Geophysics, Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, Novosibirsk State University Novosibirsk, Russia, E-mail: min@osmf.sscc.ru

of similar trajectories (MST) [1] or the weighted method of correlated sampling [2]. The use of such methods allows one to construct estimators of functionals on a single Markov chain simultaneously for a given range of parameters ( $\Lambda$ ) and to study effectively the results dependence on the parameters of the system, in particular, for their small variations [1].

If the spectral radius is  $\rho(\mathbf{K}_{\lambda,p,1}) > 1$ , then the elements of  $V\boldsymbol{\xi}(\lambda)$  can be infinitely large and the use of the weight estimator  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_x(\lambda)$  for the calculation of the  $\Phi(x,\lambda)$  is not expedient. Note that the estimation of the value  $\rho(\mathbf{K}_{\lambda,p,1})$  for real problems demands a separate and labor-consuming theoretical study. The standard way to decrease the value  $V\boldsymbol{\xi}_x(\lambda)$  is the use of the following modification of the estimator  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_x(\lambda)$  with additional trajectory branching (randomized splitting)

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{x_0}(\lambda) = H_{\lambda}(x_0) + \delta_{x_1} \frac{Q^{(1)}(x_0, x_1, \lambda)}{\mathrm{E}\nu_1} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu_1} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{x_1}^{(i)}(\lambda), \tag{4}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{x_{n-1}}(\lambda) = H_{\lambda}(x_{n-1}) + \delta_{x_n} \frac{Q^{(1)}(x_{n-1}, x_n, \lambda)}{\mathrm{E}\nu_n} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu_n} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{x_n}^{(i)}(\lambda),$$
(5)

where  $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{x_n}^{(\cdot)}(\lambda)$  are independent realizations of  $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{x_n}(\lambda)$  and  $Q^{(1)}(x_{n-1},x_n)$  corresponds to  $\boldsymbol{K}$  with  $|k_{ij}(x,y,\lambda)|$ .

If we introduce  $\{A\}_{ij} = a_{ij}$  for an arbitrary element of the matrix A and

$$E\nu_{1} = \max_{\lambda} \sup_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \{Q^{(1)}(x_{0}, x_{1}, \lambda)\}_{ij}, E\nu_{n} = \max_{\lambda} \sup_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left\{\frac{Q^{(1)}_{n-1}Q^{(1)}(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, \lambda)}{E\nu_{1} \dots E\nu_{n-1}}\right\}_{ij}$$
(6)

then, as in [3] it is easy to check that  $\mathrm{E}\zeta_x(\lambda) = \Phi_\lambda(x)$  and  $\mathrm{E}(\zeta_x(\lambda)\zeta_x^{\mathrm{T}}(\lambda)) \in \mathbf{L}_\infty$ if only  $\rho(\mathbf{K}_{\lambda,1}) < 1$  and  $\rho(B_p) < 1$ . The last inequalities also ensure that the mean computer simulation time for calculation of one sample value of  $\zeta_x$  is also bounded.

Numerical study of the efficiency of the presented weighted method of correlated sampling with branching in comparison with "analog" modelling for every parameter  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  was carried out on the example of the standard problem of transfer theory with allowance for polarization.

The reported study was funded by RFBR according to the research projects 18-01-00356, 160100530 and 170100823.

## References

- S. M. Ermakov and G. A. Mikhailov, *Statistical Modelling*. Nauka, Moscow, 1982 (in Russian).
- [2] G. A. Mikhailov, Optimization of Weighted Monte Carlo Methods. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1992.
- [3] I.N. Medvedev, Vector Monte Carlo algorithms with finite computational cost // Russian Journal of Numerical Analysis and Mathematical Modelling, 2017, vol.32, No.6, p.359-370, 2017.